# Optimizing Integrated Agribusiness of Beef Cattle Farm through Various Plantation Pattern Models on the Irrigated Land

by Nantil Bambang Eko Sulistyono

**Submission date:** 06-Apr-2023 11:10AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2057252526 **File name:** 25904005.pdf (2.3M)

Word count: 2889

Character count: 14145

1st International Conference on Social Sciences (ICSS 2018)

### Optimizing Integrated Agribusiness of Beef Cattle Farm through Various Plantation Pattern Models on the Irrigated Land

1<sup>st</sup> Nant 3 Bambang Eko Sulistiyono Dept. of Agricultural Production Politeknik Negeri Jember Jember, Indonesia besjember@polije.acid 2<sup>nd</sup> Merry 3 Juspita Dyah Utami Dept. of Animal Science Politeknik Negeri Jember 3 Jember, Indonesia merry.mdu@polije.ac.id

Abstract— The objective of this research was to analyze profit and loss from the integration of beef cattle and crop planting work at field-rice land. Each location sample is taken with Purposive Sampling. Umbulsari District in Jember Regency has extensive field-rice land managed with technical irrigation system: Crop Pattern/CP1 (Rice-Rice), Crop Pattern/CP2 (Rice-Corn), and Crop Pattern/CP3 (Rice-Soybean). The sample includes 32 breeder-farmers. Data analysis including analysis on cost and on income, and analysis of Linear Programming. The conclusions were obtained: the income from CP1 was IDR 12,944,460 and the income of beef cattle was IDR 4,315,000. The income from CP2 was IDR 14,175,050 and the income of beef cattle has attained for IDR 4,656,000. The income from CP3 was IDR 13,919,000 and the income of beef cattle has given income of IDR 4,141,500. The highest income was obtained from CP2rice-corn farming, the contribution of CP1 and beef cattle to the total income was 33.33%. CP2 contributed of 33.84% to total farming income. Moreover, CP3 contributed to total farming income by 29.755%. The selected crop pattern was rice-corn farming, its integration with beef cattle has achieved increasing of maximum income of IDR 2,434,000. This favorable yield was obtained with some resources such as 1.01 hectare, seedling priced at IDR 54,993, 143 kg Urea, 564 kg SP3 Fertilizer, 1,110 kg organic fertilizer, off-family workers at 259 labor works, beef cattle ownership at 2.00 animal unit, 12,775 kg animal feed, and on-family workers at 350 labor works.

Keywords—integrated beef cattle, plantation pattern, irrigation

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of irrigation ricefield development was based on the four main points, they were diversification, intensification, extensification, and rehabilitation. The four points were conducted in integrated, harmonious, and based on the condition of climate, agroecosystem, and social which keep on in accordance by noticing the environmental sustainability. One of the ways to increase farmers' income is implementing the integrated agriculture system by combining crops of agribusiness and the beef cattle breeding business. The integrated agriculture system is defined as the combination of all agriculture components into an integrated agribusiness system. This is an eco-friendly econ any system and the optimization of all resources obtained. The purpose of this system application is to minimize the input

from outside (4) w input) that the negative impact maximumly will be avoided and sustainable [1].

Integration between crops and cattle has been depicted on the societies' agriculture pattern since a long time ago and become the part of farmers' agriculture cultivation. In the conventional agribusiness system, cattle are the supporting unit which is considered as a saving [2]. Distortion on the conventional system mostly happen since the population increased, the farming field gets narrow, and the business culture increased.

Integration between crops and beef cattle breeding is basically the combination of two commodities which can be developed simultaneously in the same region where they need each other. Plants will produce agriculture waste that can be used to feed the cattle. While the beef cattle can produce potential manure as the fertilizer for plants and repairing the soil physical nature.

By fulfilling one of the input medium especially food for the beef cattle and organic fertilizer for crops, it is expected that both of them will decrease the production cost and increase the crop and cattle production. Finally, this will increase the farmers' income and prosperity, and support the food and meat self-sufficiency in Indonesia.

The research was conducted in Jember Regency intentionally by considering that the region was one of the technical irrigation fields with a quite spacious area and it had a potential in beef cattle development. Besides, the local societies were used to raise the beef cattle and work on a rice field.

#### II. RESEARCH METHODS

The sample taken was farmers who had a beef cattle business and it has been raised for at least 1 (one) year, and the farmers have experienced in raising cattle for minimum 3 (three) years. Besides raising the cattle, the farmers should have a crops agribusiness that was cultivated in paddy fields. That requirement was needed to ease the data collection and the farmers may apply several models of cropping pattern.



The linear programming implementation framework for agribusiness and the beef cattle business were listed in Table I

TABLE I. THE CROP AGRIBUSINESS AND THE BEEF CATTLE BUSINESS LINEAR PROGRAMMING PLAN MODEL MATRIX RELATION

Num	Activity	Crop Agribusiness and Beef Cattle			Available Resources
	7 1011 711.)	X1	X2	X3	b1
1	Rice-Rice Field	1	0	0	≤ b1
2	Rice-Com Field	0	1	0	≤ b2
3	Rice-Soybean Field	0	0	1	≤ b3
4	Rice-Rice Seeds	1	0	0	≤ b4
5	Rice-Com Seeds	0	1	0	≤ b5
6	Rice-Soybean Seeds	0	0	1	≤ b6
7	Urea Fertilizer	1	1	1	≤ b7
8	SP-36 Fertilizer	1	1	1	≤ b8
9	Rice-Rice Org. Fertilizer	1	0	0	≤ b9
10	Rice-Com Org. Fertilizer	0	1	0	≤b10
11	Rice-Soybean Org. Fertilizer	0	0	1	≤b11
12	Out of family workers	1	1	1	≤b12
13	Rice-Rice Cattle owner	1	0	0	≥b13
14	Rice-Com cattle owner	0	1	0	≥b14
15	Rice-Soybean Cattle owner	0	0	1	≥b15
16	Rice-Rice Animal Feed	1	0	0	≥b16
17	Rice-Com Animal Feed	0	1	0	≥b17
18	Rice-Soybean Animal Feed	0	0	1	≥b18
19	Family Workers	1	1	1	≥b19

The population in this research were the farmers who applied several cropping pattern models in one year as in the following: Cropping pattern 1: Rice-Rice and the beef cattle business; Cropping pattern 2: Rice-Corn and the beef cattle business; Cropping pattern 3: Rice-Soybean and the beef cattle business. The analysis performed in this research includes Cost and Income Analysis and Linear Programming Analysis.

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. The analysis of Crop Agribusiness and Beef Cattle business

The analysis of Cost Components, Income, and Revenue, the R/C value with Various Cropping Pattern in One Year (Rupiah) were listed in the following Table II.

TABLE II. COST COMPONENTS, INCOME, AND REVENUE

Num	Description	Cropping Pattern			
1		Pattern 1	Pattern 1	Pattern 1	
1	Cost of Farming				
	Fixed Cost a. Land Tax	125,076	128.956	124.800	
	b. Irrigation	574.616	564.445	574.500	
	c. Land Lease	5.17.000	4,968,889	5.150.000	
	d. Tools	770,000	670.556	771.000	
	d. 100IS depreciation	//0.000	0 /0.550	//1.000	
	SUBTOTAL	6.642.692	6.332.846	6.620.300	
	Variable Cost	0.042.092	0.332.040	0.020.300	
	a. Seeds	1.136.154	1.272.222	1.136.000	
	b. Pesticides	4.071.538	3.876.667	4.275.000	
	c. Fertilizers	4.376.923	4.294.444	4.485.000	
	d. Workers	6.076.923	5.855.556	6.030.000	
	e. Tractor Rental	2.346.153	2.455.556	2.340.000	
	SUBTOTAL	18.007.691	17.754.444	18.266,000	
	SUBTUTAL	18.007.091	17.754.444	18.200.000	
	OF FARMING	24.650.383	24.650.383	24.886.300	
2	Cost of Beef				
_	Business				
	Fixed Cost				
	a.Cattle	283.077	268.889	285.000	
	Depreciation				
	b. Cage	65.769	66.667	66.500	
	Depreciation				
	c.Tools	53.077	52.778	55.000	
	Depreciation				
	SUB TOTAL	401.923	388.334	406.500	
	Variable Cost	# #00 000		# aao ooo	
	a. Feeding Cows	7.200.000	7.011.111	7.220.000	
	b. Animal Feed	1.138.462	1.144.444	1.160.000	
	Forage	1 617 200	1.611.111	1.610.000	
	c. Concentrate	1.617.308	1.611.111	1.610.000	
	d. Drugs	221.154 10.176.924	216.667 9.983.333	230.000 10.167.000	
	SUBTOTAL	10.170.924	2.202.000	10.107.000	
	TOTAL COST OF	10.578.847	10.371.667	10.220.000	
	BEEF CATTLE				
3	Agribusiness	38.592.500	38.596.500	38.569.500	
	Revenue				
4	Beef Cattle	14.829.231	15.015.556	14.881.500	
	Revenue				
5	Total Revenue	53.421.731	53.612.056	53.451.000	
6	Agribusiness	13.942.117	14.509.210	13.683.200	
	Income				
7	Beef Cattle Income	4.250.384	4.643.889	4.255.00	
- 8	Total Income	18.192.501	19.153.099	17.938.200	
9	Agribusiness R/C	1,57	1.60	1,55	
10	Beef Cattle	1,46	1.50	1,46	
	business R/C				
11	Total Business R/C	1,51	1.55	1,50	

From the analysis results, the cost of Cropping Pattern 3 Rice-soybean + beef cattle business required the highest cost rather than the other cropping pattern, this was due to the land processing, land lease, and the inorganic fertilizer required an extremely high cost [3]. The results of this research showed the crop agribusiness and the beef cattle revenue with various cropping patterns in one year per hectare per livestock unit. Then it showed that the revenue of crop agribusiness with the Rice-Corn pattern and the beef



cattle business was the highest. This was presumed as the effect of cattle enlargement, manure result, forage waste, and workers result.

The research result showed that the income of cropping pattern 1 was Rp. 13.942.117, and the beef cattle business was Rp. 4.250.384, that the total was Rp. 18.192.501, While the income of cropping pattern 2 per hectare was Rp. 14.509.210, and the beef cattle business per livestock unit was Rp. 4.643.889, that the total was Rp. 19.153.099, Then the income of cropping pattern 3per hectare was Rp. 13.683.200, and the beef cattle business per livestock unit was Rp. 4.255.000, that the total was Rp. 17.938.200, ...

The data above showed that the highest income was from the cropping pattern 2 with the beef cattle business. The contribution of the cropping pattern 1 and the beef cattle business on the agribusiness income was 30,46%, the cropping pattern 2 plus the beef cattle business was 32,01%, while the cropping pattern 3 and the beef cattle business was 31,09%. Beef cattle contribution on the total crop agribusiness was 28,28% the research result in Batumerta Region, North Sumatra [4] and [5].

All R/C ratio value gained positive number which means that farmers still obtaine profit in a small number. According to [6] the result showed that the income of beef cattle in Lempang village, Tanete Riaja Subdistrict, Barru regency with an average income per year obtained by the farmers who had 8 cattle was Rp. 21.901.667,- with R/C 2.9, 7 cattle was Rp. 20.420.417,- with R/C 3,1,5 cattle was Rp. 12.079.444,- with R/C 2,3, 4 cattle was Rp. 9.356.500,- with R/C 2,0, 5 attle was Rp. 7.232.917,- with R/C 1.8, 2 cattle was Rp. 5.201.146,- with R/C 1,8, and 1 cattle was Rp. 2.507.500 with R/C 1,8.

#### B. Implementing the Crop Agribusiness and Beef Cattle Business Optimization

The analysis result came to the finishing stage on the three cropping patterns activities that were listed on Table II. In Table II, the selected agribusiness activity of rice-corn agribusiness which had activity value of 1,017 and additional income contribution of Rp. 2.434.000,- while the rice-soybean agribusiness which had activity value of 0,230 and income contribution of Rp. 612.000,-.

TABLE III. OPTIMIZED CROP AND BEEF CATTLE

Number	Activities	Activity	Income
		Value	Contribution
1	Rice-Rice with beef cattle	0	0
2	Rie-Com with beef cattle	1,017	2.434.000
3	Rice-Soybean with beef cattle	0,234	612.000

The rice-rice agribusiness activity was not selected since the final computation result showed that the activity value of the agribusiness was 0 that the income contribution was also 0. From the analysis on table 2, the result obtained was that the optimal pattern of the selected agribusiness was the one with the highest income contribution, which in this case was the rice-corn cropping pattern with the beef cattle business Implementing the crop agribusiness and the beef cattle business optimization in this research generally included 3 main components, they are resources hurdle speed, activity vector columns, and functional purpose row. the selected agribusiness activity of rice-corn agribusiness which had activity value of 1,017 and additional income contribution of Rp. 2.434.000,- while the rice-soybean agribusiness which had activity value of 0,230 and income contribution of Rp. 612.000,-.

The rice-rice agribusiness activity was not selected since the final computation result showed that the activity value of the agribusiness was 0 that the income contribution was also 0. From the analysis on table 14, the result obtained was that the optimal pattern of the selected agribusiness was the one with the highest income contribution, which in this case was the rice-corn cropping pattern with the beef cattle business

The resulting analysis of linear programming for the rice-corn agribusiness activity with beef cattle business was listed on Table III.

TABLE IV. OPTIMIZED CROP AND BEEF CATTLE PATTERN

Number	Optimal Activities	Activity	Activity
		Unit	Level
1	Area width on the Rice-Corn	Hectare	1.01
	Cropping Pattern		
2	Plant Seeds Value	Rupiah	54,933
3	Urea Fertilizer	Kg	143
4	SP-36 Fertilizer	Kg	564
5	Organic Fertilizer	Kg	1,110
6	Out-of-Family workers	day	259
		work	
7	Beef Cattle Ownership	Cattle	2.00
		unit	
8	Animal Feed	day	12,775
		work	
9	Family Worker	Kg	350
10	Maximal Income	Rupiah	2,434

The final analysis result with linear programming, the selected cropping pattern was the rice-corn agribusiness and the beef cattle business with maximum income increasing of Rp. 2.434.000,-. To earn those number, several resources needed were 1,01 hectare of field, the plant seeds value of Rp. 54.993,-, 143 Kg urea fertilizer, 564 Kg SP-36 Fertilizer, 1.110 Kg organic fertilizer, 259-day work out-of-family workers, 2 beef cattle, 12.775 Kg Animal feed, and 350 family workers



Based on the research and discussion above, it could be concluded that the research result showed that the income of cropping pattern 1 was Rp. 13.942.117,- and the beef cattle business was Rp. 4.250.384,- that the total was Rp. 18.192.501,-. While the income of cropping pattern 2 per hectare was Rp. 14.509.210,- and the beef cattle business per livestock unit was Rp. 4.643.889,- that the total was Rp. 19.153.099,-. Then the income of cropping pattern 3per



hectare was Rp. 13.683.200,- and the beef cattle business per livestock unit was Rp. 4.255.000,- that the total was Rp. 17.938.200,-. The data above showed that the highest income was from the cropping pattern 2 with the beef cattle business.

The contribution of the cropping pattern 1 and the beef cattle business on the agribusiness income was 30,46%, the cropping pattern 2 plus the beef cattle business was 32,01%, while the cropping pattern 3 and the beef cattle business was 31,09%.

The selected cropping pattern was the rice-corn agribusiness and the beef cattle business with maximum income increasing of Rp. 2.434.000,-. To earn those number, several resources needed were 1,01 hectare of field, the plant seeds value of Rp. 54.993,-, 143 Kg Urea fertilizer, 564 Kg SP-36 Fertilizer, 1.110 Kg Organic fertilizer, 259 HOK out-of-family workers, 2 beef cattles, 12.775 Kg Animal feed, and 350 HOK family workers.

Based on the existing five sustainability dimensions, the integrated agribusiness of beef cattle and crops in the research area had a sustainability index of 42,40. This index showed that the agribusiness was not quite sustainable. Dimension with the worst sustainability index and need more attention were technology and infrastructure, and law and institutional dimensions.

#### REFERENCES

- A. K. Mohd, "Successful development of a model for cattle integration in Sawit Kinabalu.," *Planter*, vol. 84, no. 993, pp. 813–819, 2008.
- [2] C. Devendra and D. Thomas, "Crop–animal interactions in mixed farming systems in Asia," *Agric. Syst.*, vol. 71, no. 1–2, pp. 27– 40, 2002.
- [3] E. Pasandaran, G. Irianto, and N. Zuliasri, "Pendayagunaan dan peluang pengembangan irigasi bagi peningkatan produksi padi. hlm. 277–294," *Dalam*. Ekonomi Padi dan Beras Indonesia. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian, Jakarta, 2004.
- [4] M. Nurcholis, "Pengembangan Integrated Farming System untuk Pengendalian Alih Fungsi Lahan Pertanian," 2011.
- [5] S. W. Tangahu, "PENGARUH SISTEM INTEGRASI TEBU-SAPI TERHADAP PRODUKSI TEBU, SAPI, DAN PENDAPATAN PETANI DI KABUPATEN GORONTALO, PROVINSI GORONTALO," Sosiohumaniora, vol. 17, no. 2, 2015
- [6] U. Kusnadi, "Inovasi teknologi peternakan dalam sistem integrasi tanaman-ternak untuk menunjang swasembada daging sapi," Pengemb. Inov. Pertan., vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 189–205, 2008.

## Optimizing Integrated Agribusiness of Beef Cattle Farm through Various Plantation Pattern Models on the Irrigated Land

Lan	u				
ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT				
5 SIMILA	% ARITY INDEX	5% INTERNET SOURCES	3% PUBLICATIONS	2% STUDENT PA	APERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	Submitte Student Paper	ed to Universita	is Musamus N	Vierauke	1 %
2	bva.telko	omuniversity.ad	id		1 %
3	"Suppler on the p	Jtami, N D Wah mentation of pr erformance of nce Series: Eart 2018	obiotic and p broilers", IOP		1%
4	reposito Internet Source	ry.unimal.ac.id			1 %
5	journal.u	unublitar.ac.id			1 %
6	zombiec Internet Source				<1%

Exclude quotes Off Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On